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Abstract

This paper explains how the two dominant 19th Centuries European lotteries (lottos and Klassenlotterien) were operated. A special emphasis is given to the Spanish lotteries. It is suggested that the peculiar characteristics of the latter probably made group-playing a more pervasive phenomenon in Spain than in any other European country in the second half of the 19th Century. It is also suggested that the extension and institutionalization of group-playing in Spain made the Spanish treasury to became the most efficient one at monopolizing the risk-taking behavior of its population.
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Abstract

The diffusion of practices poses the problem of credit assignment: identifying the path of causality between interacting practices and their effects. Because of the complex relationship between cause and effect, the adoption of better practices is frequently
characterized as fads. We apply Ragin’s (2000) fuzzy logic methodology to identify high performance configurations in the 1989 data set of MacDuffie (1995). The results indicate that discrete bundles of practices are associated with higher performance, but that the variety of outcomes points to experimentation and search. Managerial discourse around prototypical practices is, in this light, not simply a reflection of fads, but the groping for better performance when categorization and causality between practice and outcome are fuzzy.
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Abstract

This paper proposes four constructs, thickening, patching, coasting, and trimming that can be used as building blocks to describe organizations’ developmental paths towards configurations and organizations’ transitions between configurations. The constructs are linked to the creation and subsequent elaboration of organizations’ core elements. We use the four constructs to describe two ideal types of development termed thin-to-thick and patch-by-patch. Within the context of a longitudinal study of The Vanguard Group we illustrate the constructs and offer an operationalization of the concept of a core element. Future research opportunities based upon the suggested constructs and the ideal types of development are discussed.
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Abstract

Many theories on the adoption and diffusion of innovations posit that adoption is the outcome of a decision process. A two-stage conception of that process involving an awareness stage followed by a stage combining evaluation and adoption seems particularly useful. Several empirical studies indicate that the effect of mass media and change agents is relatively concentrated in creating awareness, while the effect of personal influence from earlier adopters (i.e., social contagion) is more concentrated in bringing about a positive evaluation and hence adoption. A frustrating problem, however, is that most data record only the final outcome of the process, i.e. the time of adoption. We bridge this gap in richness between theory and data by developing new event history models, which we call partial observability models of innovation adoption. An application to the classic Medical Innovation data illustrates that these finer-grained models can not only provide better descriptive fit but, more importantly, can also detect weak social contagion patterns that traditional event history models cannot detect in the presence of strong mass media effects.
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Abstract

This paper investigates how marketing professionals’ intra-departmental social network is associated with their knowledge about customers, competitors, and technology. There are three findings. First, we find no consistent effects for ego-network density or central brokerage position, the two network characteristics that have dominated social capital research. Second, however, we find stronger effects for social network exposure, i.e. access, to others’ knowledge, a rather under-researched facet of social capital which we model using network autoregression. These two findings support recent conjectures that
brokerage positions and trust need not always lead to superior outcomes and that, in some contexts, social capital is better conceived as access to others’ resources. Our third finding is that individuals’ extant knowledge has a positive effect on learning from peers in the technology domain, but a negative effect in the customer and competitor domains. This suggests that the professionals studied were realizing positive returns on their own intellectual capital for learning outside their primary task environment, but negative returns for learning within their primary task environment.
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